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Focus on learning about scientific concepts through engaging in scientific and engineering practices

Developing epistemic considerations in classroom settings over a long period of time may be challenging for teachers and learners

(Berland, Schwarz, Krist, Kenyon, Lo, & Reiser, advance online publication; National Research Council, 2012; NGSS Lead States, 2013)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Background</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Findings</th>
<th>Discussion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Background**

- Understanding children’s epistemic considerations can be challenging
  - Contextualized (in *practice*)
  - May take awhile to develop
  - Coding can be labor-intensive
Automated approaches to analyzing text data have increasingly been used in science education.

- Possible to examine conceptual aspects of students’ transcribed responses.
- Embedded assessments may be amenable to text analysis.
- Exploratory approach can examine knowledge in situ.
- However, researchers have not yet examined epistemic considerations longitudinally.

(Beggrow, Ha, Nehm, Pearl, & Boone, 2014; Sherin, 2013; Guo, Xing, & Lee, 2015)
Background

- **Purpose**: Understand what themes can be identified in students’ epistemic considerations through analyzing embedded assessments
- If meaningful, examine patterns of themes over time
Method

• Utilized responses from a subset (43) of students taught by one of two fifth-grade and two-sixth grade teachers

• Collected 200 embedded assessments from six units
  • Each included a prompt
  • Each included eight-10 items on epistemic considerations and “meta” items about scientific practices
  • Analyzed six items consistent across all six units
2nd Model of Condensation

Key:
- Water molecules vaporize and gas molecules are moving faster.
- Temperature change is necessary for condensation.
- The gas molecules separate and move fast.
- Liquid molecules move closer and not too fast or slow.
- Solid object shakes slightly and stays close together.

Water molecules in gas form are magnified for use in model.

Cold empty cup:
- Water enters over time.
- When in liquid form, they climb.

Warm empty cup:
- Solid object stays close together.
- The closer they get, the slower they move.
Method

- Epistemic considerations
  - Nature
  - Audience of model
  - Justification
  - Generality
  - (Meta / reflective)
Method

• Audience of model
  • Who do you think your model is for?

• Generality
  • Do you think your model should explain all the different ways that [specific to unit] or should it mainly focus on a specific situation like [specific to unit]?
Method

- 5th Grade Units
  - Evaporation (~1 month)
  - Condensation (~1 month)
  - Light (~3 months)
- 6th Grade Units
  - Chemistry I (~1.5 months)
  - Chemistry II (~1.5 months)
  - Earth Science (~2 months)
### Method

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>5th Grade Units</th>
<th>6th Grade Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Evaporation (~1 month)</td>
<td>Chemistry I (~1.5 months)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condensation (~1 month)</td>
<td>Chemistry II (~1.5 months)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
  - Draw or attach a copy of your revised condensation model to answer the question: “How and why do liquids sometimes appear on cold surface over time?”
  - Draw or attach a copy of your individual revised model that answers the question: “How and why do odors move across the room?”

- Light (~3 months)
- Earth Science (~2 months)
Method

• Adapted Statistical Natural Language Processing technique described by Sherin (2013)
  • Focus on epistemic aspects
  • Analysis of a moderately-sized sample instead of individual students
  • Length of responses
Method

- Entered responses to six items for 200 embedded assessments from 43 students
- Cleaned text and removed a small number of *stopwords* using the tm package in the statistical software and programming language R
- Created term document matrices or vector-space representation

(Feinerer & Hornik, 2015; R Core Development Team, 2016)
We study the complexity of influencing elections through bribery: How computationally complex is it for an external actor to determine whether by a certain amount of bribing voters a specified candidate can be made the election's winner? We study this problem for election systems as varied as scoring...

Choi (2016)
Method

• Selected the number of clusters (or themes)
• Clustered documents using a two-step approach
  • Hierarchical
  • K-means
• Interpreted clusters inductively from the data
  • Inspected mean term frequencies and documents for each cluster
  • Examined frequencies of clusters over time
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**Audience**
“I think my model is for my teacher and other students.”

“I think it is for other students. This is because it helps other students learn about how water shapes our world or we could compare what we think.”

“I think my model is for my class and my self.”
“For the MSU research group and myself its for myself so I can understand condensation better.”

“Me and MSU. To teach me and for MSU to research.”

“To learn from and help me understand. Because it helps me understand better when we do more and more.”
For people to understand how

“People who don't understand because then they can look at my model and see how it works.”

“For people who want to know how you see [some]thing. Because that’s what the model is for.”

“People who don't understand ideas about odors, molecules, and movement. Because then they will partly understand how odors move and what happens to odors.”
For anyone who wants to learn

“Anyone who wants to learn about condensation.”

“It is for anyone who wants to learn about this kind of stuff. Because people could look at my model and learn about air molecules.”

“Anyone who wants/needs to know about odor. Because it is an informative model to inform people.”
Anyone who wants to learn (n = 51)
For people who don't understand how (n = 37)
I think my model is for other students (n = 32)
MSU (n = 54)
Generality
“All different ways. Because that is not the only way evaporation happens. A little child might think it is if it focuses only on one phenomena.”

“I think it should explain different ways that evaporation happens. Because it has to explain evaporation, the big idea, and has to show all the kinds of evaporation.”

“All the different ways. A good model is general.”
“I think it should show all the way water shapes things.”

“All things because the Grand Canyon isn't the only thing that water formed.”

“Because water forms more than one thing. Because the water explains how some landforms are formed.”
“My model works for all molecules in general. All air molecules and odor vapors move the same. The difference would be seen if you drew specific molecules.”

“Yes, because the air molecules could represent any smell. It could be perfume, air fresher, etc.”

“Yes because all odors move the same.”
“It should teach on one thing. It is easier to explain and that you can put one thing in more detail.”

“Only the cold pop can and ice pack because they shouldn't see every thing in one model.”

“It should explain all the types of evaporation. Because then it would be better instead of showing so many models you can just show one.”
“Not be so specific. Because all good models should fit all phenomena.”

“Not too specific. A good model is general.”

“My model should explain something in the middle. My model should explain something in the middle because a model should be general, but not so general that it becomes inaccurate for describing some phenomena.”
"I think it should focus on a specific situation. If you focus on multiple things it will look messy and it will be hard to read."

"I think my model should mainly focus on a specific situation. Because then it doesn’t go off in a bunch of different directions and get confusing."

"I think the model should focus on the big idea (evaporation). Because if you describe too much of one thing you start going away from the big idea."
- Can explain one thing (n = 38)
- Explain all different ways (n = 46)
- Models should be general (n = 31)
- Should focus on a specific situation (n = 10)
- Show the way air moves (n = 19)
- Ways water shapes things (n = 15)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Themes from the automated analysis seem to pick up on different dimensions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Audience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Seems to be highly interpretable but procedural</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Generality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Seems to be content-specific of focused on being either general or specific</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Key Findings

- Longitudinal patterns demonstrate trends in themes that might be meaningful
- Audience
  - Some growth over time
- Generality
  - More challenging to interpret
### Significance and Limitations

- **Yes (students) can!**
  - Students are responding with not only their epistemic considerations but also others

- **We can, too**
  - Suggests epistemic considerations and patterns over time can be examined

- **But, significant methodological challenges**
  - Significant variability within clusters
  - Importance of factors in addition to time
  - Need for validation
Future Directions

- Code additional embedded assessment responses
- Include other data sources to substantiate findings or to serve as factors in addition to time
- Combine classification with clustering
- Focusing on stopword removal to focus on epistemic (rather than procedural or content) aspects
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